

OMGT2105 ASSIGNMENT 2 MODEL ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Assessment Outcome Name () ID ()

Criterion	Weight	Comments	Mark
<u>Model Accuracy</u> - Correct model specifications - Correct Excel formula set-up - Robust generic model (i.e., model can be repeated with changes in values of input variables)	30%		
<u>Analysis</u> - All required tasks completed - Evidence of sound understanding of optimization analysis - Accuracy of results	40%		
<u>Model Organization</u> - Clear, logical lay-out of model structure in worksheets for easy review - Appropriate use of labels for input and decision variables to facilitate review, audit and formula check - Clear set-up of constraints in Solver	10%		
<u>Model Presentation</u> - No careless typographical and spelling errors in worksheets - Elegant formatting of worksheets (e.g., use of appropriate color schemes and border lines for demarcation of model parameters)	10%		
<u>Effort Invested</u> - Clear evidence of significant efforts expended on developing models in Excel	10%		
TOTAL			

Penalty for late submission without prior approval or using model downloaded from the Internet:

Late Submission or Downloaded from Internet	Penalty Applied	TOTAL after Deduction

Overall Comments

OMGT2105 ASSIGNMENT 2 REPORT ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Assessment Outcome Name () ID ()

Criterion	Weight	Comments	Mark
<u>Report Content</u> - All required tasks completed - Rich and substantive content evident - Accurate and updated information used - Vital information included	30%		
<u>Analysis and Discussion</u> - Derivation of optimization model clearly explained - Evidence of in-depth analysis - Systematic and logical explanation - Convincing arguments supported, where appropriate, by empirical evidence or references	40%		
<u>Report Organization</u> - Well-developed and logical report structure - Appropriate use of headings and sub-headings - Contents in sections and sub-sections reflect headings indicated	10%		
<u>Report Presentation</u> - Clear writing, high readability - Appropriate use of tables, charts and figures - Professionally acceptable presentation quality (e.g., no typographical and spelling errors and sloppy formatting) - Consistent in-text referencing using Harvard Referencing Style - List of References matches references used in report	10%		
<u>Effort Invested</u> - Clear evidence of significant efforts expended on assignment	10%		
TOTAL			

Penalty for late submission without prior approval or failure to acknowledge information sources and ideas appropriately, including poor referencing (where Similarity Index in the Turnitin report > 20%):

Late Submission or Poor Referencing	Penalty Applied	TOTAL after Deduction

Overall Comments